

33. (a) Let A be the event that two consecutive coin flips have different faces. Let H be the event that the last flip landed on heads. Then, $P(A) = 2p(1-p)$, $P(HA) = p(1-p)$. So the probability to get a heads in this procedure is $P(H|A) = P(HA)/P(A) = 1/2$.
- (b) No. Simplified procedure is generating the probability that the last flip is a heads given previous flip is a tails, which is the same as p .
34. The probability that E happens before F is the probability that E happens given E or F happens. $P(E \cup F) = P(E) + P(F)$, $P(E|E \cup F) = P(E)/P(E \cup F) = P(E)/(P(E) + P(F))$.
35. We have,

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i\right) = 1 - P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n E_i^c\right) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n P(E_i^c) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - P(E_i))$$

where in the first equality we used De Morgan's Law, and the second equality follows from the independence of E_i 's. The third one follows because $P(E_i^c) = 1 - P(E_i)$.

36. We are given that the probability that a coin lands on Heads is $p = 1/2$. $P(A) = 1/2$, $P(B) = 1/2$, $P(C) = p^2 + (1-p)^2 = 1/2$. To show n events are independent, it is necessary to show that $P(\bigcap_{i=1}^m E_i) = \prod_{i=1}^m P(E_i)$, for all $m \leq n$. To check pairwise independence, $P(AB) = p^2 = 1/4 = P(A)P(B)$, $P(AC) = p^2 = 1/4 = P(A)P(C)$, $P(BC) = p^2 = P(A)P(C)$. So they are pairwise independent. However, $P(ABC) = p^2 = 1/4 \neq P(A)P(B)P(C) = 1/8$. So they are not independent.
37. (a) Given 1, 2, 3 or 4 is drawn, the probability that 3, 4, or 5 is drawn equals to the probability that 3, 4 is drawn amongst 1, 2, 3, 4. So $P(E_1|F) = 1/2$. Similarly, $P(E_2|F) = 1/2$. Further, $P(E_1E_2|F)$ is the probability that 4 is drawn amongst 1, 2, 3, 4, which is equal to $1/4$. Then, $P(E_1E_2|F) = P(E_1|F)P(E_2|F)$. They are conditionally independent.
- (b) Drawing 3, 4, or 5 from 1-6, we have the probability $P(E_1) = 1/2$. Similarly, $P(E_2) = 1/2$, and $P(E_1E_2) = 1/6$. But $P(E_1E_2) \neq P(E_1)P(E_2)$. Hence, they are not independent.
- (c) Five balls, $E_1 = \{1, 2\}$, $E_2 = \{2, 3\}$, $F = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. We could show that E_1, E_2 are conditionally independent on given F but not unconditionally independent.
38. (a) For any given batch size N , the number of defective components k in line A follows Binomial distribution $\text{Binom}(p_0, N)$ and those in line B follows $\text{Binom}(p_1, N)$. When $N = 100$, the probability that $k = 7$ in line A is 0.1060 and the probability that $k = 7$ in line B is 0.0889. The likelihood matrix is

	$k = 7$
A	0.1060
B	0.0889

- (b) The ML decision is that the batch is produced by line A.
- (c) The ML decision rule becomes,

$$\frac{\binom{N}{k} p_0^k (1-p_0)^{N-k}}{\binom{N}{k} p_1^k (1-p_1)^{N-k}} = 0.5^k \frac{19^{N-k}}{18} \underset{B}{\overset{A}{\geq}} 1$$

Taking the logarithm of both sides (since logarithm is a monotonically increasing function):

$$-k \log 2 + (N-k) \log \frac{19}{18} \underset{B}{\overset{A}{\geq}} 0$$

$$k \underset{A}{\geq} \frac{B}{N} \frac{\log 19 - \log 18}{\log 19 - \log 9} = 0.0724N$$

39. (a) Let X be the number of Heads in 5 flips. Again $X \sim \text{Binom}(5, 0.5)$ for a fair coin and $X \sim \text{Binom}(5, 0.7)$ for a biased coin. The ML matrix and decision rule is (given by the underlined entries):

	$X = 0$	$X = 1$	$X = 2$	$X = 3$	$X = 4$	$X = 5$
H_0	<u>0.0312</u>	<u>0.1562</u>	<u>0.3125</u>	<u>0.3125</u>	0.1562	0.0312
H_1	0.0024	0.0283	0.1323	0.3087	<u>0.3601</u>	<u>0.1681</u>

Hence the ML decision rule is to decide that the coin is biased when 4 or more Heads appear. The error probability is $P(e) = P(X \geq 4|H_0)P(H_0) + P(X \leq 3|H_1)P(H_1) = 0.1874(0.8) + (0.4717)(0.2) = 0.2443$.

- (b) We know that $\pi_0 = P(H_0) = 0.8, \pi_1 = P(H_1) = 0.2$. So the joint probability matrix and MAP decision rule is

	$X = 0$	$X = 1$	$X = 2$	$X = 3$	$X = 4$	$X = 5$
H_0	<u>0.0250</u>	<u>0.1250</u>	<u>0.2500</u>	<u>0.2500</u>	<u>0.1250</u>	0.0250
H_1	0.0005	0.0057	0.0265	0.0617	0.0720	<u>0.0336</u>

The MAP rule dictates that we should rule that the coin is biased only when 5 heads appear. the error probability is obtained by adding up all the entries in the table above which are not underlined, which leads to $P(e) = 0.1914$.

- (c) For the Bayes' minimum average cost decision rule, the threshold is:

$$\tau = \frac{(C_{10} - C_{00})\pi_0}{(C_{01} - C_{11})\pi_1} = \frac{8}{3} = 2.6667$$

If $\Lambda(X) > \tau$, the decision is H_1 . Otherwise, the decision is H_0 . Finding the ratio in the likelihood matrix and make the decision,

	$X = 0$	$X = 1$	$X = 2$	$X = 3$	$X = 4$	$X = 5$
$\Lambda(X)$	0.0778	0.1814	0.4234	0.9878	2.3050	5.3782
H_0	<u>0.0312</u>	<u>0.1562</u>	<u>0.3125</u>	<u>0.3125</u>	<u>0.1562</u>	0.0312
H_1	0.0024	0.0283	0.1323	0.3087	0.3601	<u>0.1681</u>

Hence, the Bayes minimum average cost decision rule dictates that the coin be declared to be biased only if 5 heads appear.